1. Introduction

Internuclear ophthalmoplegia (INO) is a neurological disorder characterized by the inability to perform conjugate lateral gaze and ophthalmoplegia due to damage to interneurons between two cranial nerve nuclei, namely cranial nerve (CN) VI and CN III. These interneurons are known as the medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF). The Medial Longitudinal Fasciculus carries internuclear neurons to connect nuclei in the brainstem, including the CN VI nucleus in the pons, to the contralateral subnucleus of the oculomotor nerve in the midbrain, which innervates the medial rectus muscle. INO primarily affects horizontal conjugate gaze and typically manifests as impaired ipsilateral adduction in the region of the lesion, accompanied by contralateral abductive nystagmus.1-3

The medial longitudinal fasciculus can be damaged by various lesions (e.g., demyelination, ischemia, neoplasms, inflammation) in the pons or midbrain. The MLF is a myelinated nerve pathway that connects the ipsilateral oculomotor nucleus to the paramedian pontine reticular formation (PPRF) and the contralateral CN VI in the pons. Consequently, demyelinating lesions in the midbrain or pons often result in unilateral or bilateral INO in young patients. Approximately one-third of INO cases are caused by infarctions and are typically unilateral, more commonly observed in older individuals. Demyelination disorders contribute to one-third of
other cases and are most often bilateral, affecting younger individuals and adolescents.\textsuperscript{1,4,5}

Clinical characteristics of INO typically involve partial or complete ipsilesional adduction deficit with contralateral horizontal abductive saccades, which are dissociated during attempts to gaze towards the contralateral side. Diagnosis is supported by imaging studies such as CT scans and MRI to identify existing lesions. The primary management is based on addressing the underlying disease, with the most common causes being cerebral infarctions and multiple sclerosis.\textsuperscript{1} In this case report, we report a case of INO and its management.

2. Case Presentation

A 60-year-old male patient presented at the neuro-ophthalmology subdivision clinic with the chief complaint of double vision that had been ongoing for the past 4 days. The double vision occurs when looking with both eyes. The patient did not report any blurriness in vision. There was no history of eye pain, recurrent redness, tearing, or previous eye discharge. The patient denied any history of trauma, prior use of eyeglasses, or eye surgeries. Additionally, there were no complaints of limb weakness at the time of presentation. The patient was referred by an ophthalmologist in a remote area with a suspicion of left eye cranial nerve III palsy.

The patient has a history of hypertension and diabetes mellitus for the past 7 years, regularly managed by an internist. The prescribed medications include bisoprolol 1x5 mg, candesartan 1x8 mg, ascardia 1x80 mg, and metformin 3x500 mg. Additionally, the patient has a history of neurologist specialist consultation one year ago, during which they presented with weakness in limb movement and were diagnosed with a “mild stroke” as per the patient’s account. They received outpatient treatment at that time; however, the patient has not had a follow-up consultation since then.

On general examination, the patient presented with a blood pressure of 139/74 mmHg and was noted to have an overweight nutritional status. Ophthalmological examination revealed no visual impairment, a relatively normal anterior eye segment, mild cataracts, and no abnormalities in the posterior eye segment. The patient exhibited a relatively normal position of the eyeballs in the primary gaze. However, there was limited medial movement of the left eye.

![Figure 1. Normal position in primary gaze.](image1)

![Figure 2. Limitation of horizontal gaze (medial movement) in the left eye.](image2)
The Hess screen examination revealed positive diplopia. The left eye demonstrated underaction of the medial rectus muscle, while the right eye exhibited overaction of the lateral rectus muscle. There were no abnormalities noted during the visual field examination conducted using perimetry.

Figure 3. Hess’s screen examination showed underaction of the left eye medial rectus muscle and overaction of right eye lateral rectus muscle.

Laboratory results elevated fasting blood glucose (131 mg/dL) and post-prandial blood glucose (212 mg/dL). The patient underwent their first non-contrast brain CT scan, which revealed multiple infarctions in the right parietal and cerebellar lobes, as well as the left parieto-occipital lobe, in addition to indications of brain atrophy.

Figure 4. A non-contrast brain CT scan revealed multiple infarcts and brain atrophy.

In principle, the primary “management” in the ophthalmological aspect of INO is to identify any systemic abnormalities present in the patient with initial manifestations in the eye. Patient management is carried out comprehensively in collaboration with internists and neurologists to address the systemic disorders underlying INO in this case. Subsequently, the patient undergoes regular observation to assess clinical progress while receiving citicoline supplementation as a neuroprotective agent. In the third-month follow-up, a relative reduction in diplopia complaints was observed, along with improved medial movement of the left eye.

3. Discussion

A case has been reported involving a 60-year-old male with left eye internuclear ophthalmoplegia (INO) caused by ischemia (cerebral infarction). INO occurs due to a lesion in the medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF), which disrupts the connection between the contralateral CN VI nucleus and the ipsilateral CN III nucleus, thus affecting horizontal eye movement (Figure 5).
The diagnosis of INO in this case was established through a combination of patient history, ophthalmological examination, and supportive diagnostic measures, including non-contrast brain CT scan and laboratory testing. The patient's history revealed diagnostic points such as double vision and risk factors, including advanced age, a history of stroke, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension. These complaints were corroborated by the ophthalmological examination, which indicated relatively orthophoric eye positions in the primary gaze, along with limited adduction movement in the left eye and minimal nystagmus in the right eye during abduction. The horizontal movement impairment is attributed to a lesion in the MLF, predominantly resulting from infarctions. Supportive diagnostic evaluation was conducted through a brain CT scan, which revealed multiple infarctions in the right parietal and cerebellar lobes, as well as the left parieto-occipital lobe, along with indications of brain atrophy.\textsuperscript{1,8,9}

Horizontal eye movement originates from the horizontal semicircular canal, with the primary afferent fibers of the vestibular nerve (CN VIII) primarily directed toward the vestibular nucleus (CN VIII nucleus). These vestibular nuclei then send excitatory connections to the contralateral abducens nucleus (CN VI), which innervates the ipsilateral lateral rectus muscle and the contralateral CN III nucleus via the medial longitudinal fasciculus (MLF). Horizontal saccadic movement is generated in the frontal eye field, which activates the contralateral paramedian pontine reticular formation (PPRF). Burst neurons in the PPRF stimulate the ipsilateral abducens nucleus, with the subsequent pathway mirroring the movement of the eye generated by horizontal vestibular input.\textsuperscript{7,10}

The presence of a lesion in the MLF obstructs the connection between the contralateral CN VI nucleus and the ipsilateral CN III nucleus, thereby affecting horizontal eye movement (Figure 2). One of the most crucial functions of the MLF is its role in saccadic eye movements. Saccades are initiated by the Frontal Eye Field (FEF), which sends signals to the contralateral paramedian pontine reticular formation (PPRF) to generate horizontal saccadic movements and the Rostral interstitial nucleus of the medial longitudinal fasciculus (riMLF) for vertical saccades.\textsuperscript{4,10,11}

The PPRF activates the ipsilateral abducens nucleus, which sends signals to the lateral rectus muscle on the same side, and through interneuron stimulation of the abducens, it reaches the contralateral medial rectus subnucleus of the oculomotor nucleus via the MLF, resulting in horizontal eye movement opposite to that initiated by the FEF. The cardinal sign of internuclear ophthalmoplegia is a slowing or impairment of adduction on the same side as the MLF lesion. This occurs because the excitatory interneuron from the abducens fails to reach the medial rectus subnucleus.\textsuperscript{4,11}
The contralateral eye abduction can result in dissociated horizontal nystagmus. This is considered to occur because the lesion in the MLF also affects the transmission from the contralateral CN VIII, which serves as gaze holding during horizontal eye movement (Figure 5).1,12

Lesions in INO can be either unilateral or bilateral. In unilateral INO (Figure 3), the clinical manifestations include impaired adduction of the ipsilateral eye during saccadic movement and contralateral eye nystagmus during abduction. The contralateral eye nystagmus during abduction occurs as a compensatory response to the limitation of the ipsilateral eye during adduction. This aligns with Hering’s law, where in binocular eye movement, the yoke muscles receive the same innervation.3,4,7

Figure 6. Unilateral INO with a lesion in the right MLF.3

Physical examination in most patients can assist practitioners in diagnosing internuclear ophthalmoplegia. However, investigations such as neuroimaging can be helpful in identifying underlying causes. MRI can be a valuable tool in this regard, with up to 75% of patients potentially having detectable lesions. Additional blood tests and cerebrospinal fluid examinations can be performed to detect other potential causes, such as AIDS, tuberculosis, and syphilis, which can lead to infections in the brainstem. In this patient, the presence of infarcts in the parieto-occipital region and cerebellum supports the diagnosis of INO.4,9

The most common etiologies of INO are demyelination processes and stroke. In adolescents and young adults, INO is typically caused by demyelination processes, whereas in older individuals, microvascular diseases are the most common cause. In this patient, based on their age, medical history, and physical examination, and supported by laboratory and CT scan findings, infarction appears to be the underlying disease.1

The management of INO cases primarily depends on the underlying disease. In this patient, with the presence of infarction identified in the brain CT scan, as well as Type II DM and hypertension, which are risk factors for microvascular diseases, the management involves further intervention from the fields of neurology and endocrinology. For patients with INO caused by demyelination processes like multiple sclerosis, the administration of dalfampridine, a potassium channel blocker, has shown improvement in horizontal eye movement and even visual acuity in some studies. Botulinum toxin injections are also known to temporarily reduce diplopia. Patching may be recommended for patients with bothersome diplopia.13-15

The prognosis for most INO patients is quite favorable if the underlying disease is managed properly. INO caused by infarction and demyelination processes often experiences recovery. In one study, INO resolution occurred within a range of 1 day to 1 year in 30 INO patients studied. Rapid resolution is typically found in patients without other neurological deficits, such as facial palsy, ataxia, hemiparesis, or vertigo. Another study reported that 80% of INO patients resulting from infarction had reduced diplopia complaints when their eyes were in the primary position, with an average resolution time of around 2.25 months. In this patient, an improvement in prognosis is expected, particularly for diplopia complaints.16
4. Conclusion

The significance of recognizing INO in individuals with diplopia and a background of vascular risk factors is underscored in this case report. Prompt diagnosis and a holistic approach, along with regular follow-up, are essential for tracking improvements and improving the patient’s quality of life.
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